The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

Developing a Research Agenda for Carbon Dioxide Removal and Reliable Sequestration

Meeting 1: May 24-25, 2017

Keck Center 500 Fifth St., NW Washington, DC Room: 208

Wednesday, May 24, 2017

CLOSED SESSION (8:00 a.m. - 1:00 p.m. ET)

OPEN SESSION (1:00 p.m. - 5:45 p.m. ET)

GOALS FOR THE OPEN SESSION DISCUSSIONS

- Clearly understand the needs, perspectives, and expectations of the study sponsors.
- Explore the committee's task with other stakeholders

1:00 P.M.	Introductions (Committee and guests)	Steve Pacala
		Committee Chair

- 1:15 P.M. Briefing of 2015 National Academies Report Climate Intervention: Waleed Abdalati Carbon Dioxide Removal and Reliable Sequestration University of Colorado, Boulder
- 1:45P.M. Study Sponsors' Perspectives on the Committee's Task
 - More clearly understand perspectives of report sponsors what are the most important issues to be covered in the report?
 - Explore nuances of committee's task what should be included in report?
 - What is hoped for out of study both as a direct deliverable and what would "success" look like?

John Litynski, DOE Irene Krarup, V. Kann Rasmussen Foundation Meredith Muth, NOAA Dolores Wesson, EPA Peter Warwick, USGS

3:00 P.M. *Break*

3:15 P.M. Continue discussing study sponsor perspectives on the task

4:15 P.M. Current state of carbon removal field Jason Funk

The Center for Carbon Removal

4:45 P.M. Public comment session, to allow all meeting participants to offer additional contributions to the discussion

Steve Pacala

- What issues are important to other communities?
- How might this study be the most useful to the broader community?
- What are the most urgent unanswered scientific and technical questions?

5:30 P.M. Adjourn Open Session

Thursday, May 25, 2017

CLOSED SESSION (8:00 a.m. - 3:30 p.m. ET)

NOTE FOR PUBLIC MEETINGS: This meeting is being held to gather information to help the committee conduct its study. This committee will examine the information and material obtained during this, and other public meetings, in an effort to inform its work. Although opinions may be stated and lively discussion may ensue, no conclusions are being drawn at this time; no recommendations will be made. In fact, the committee will deliberate thoroughly before writing its draft report. Moreover, once the draft report is written, it must go through a rigorous review by experts who are anonymous to the committee, and the committee then must respond to this review with appropriate revisions that adequately satisfy the Academies' Report Review Committee and the NAS president before it is considered an official Academies report. Therefore, observers who draw conclusions about the committee's work based on today's discussions will be doing so prematurely.

Furthermore, individual committee members often engage in discussion and questioning for the specific purpose of probing an issue and sharpening an argument. The comments of any given committee member may not necessarily reflect the position he or she may actually hold on the subject under discussion, to say nothing of that person's future position as it may evolve in the course of the project Any inference about an individual's position regarding findings or recommendations in the final report is therefore also premature.